UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

94-CR-6005T

v. ORDER

MICHAEL T. STEVENS,

Defendant.

By motion dated February 3, 2011, defendant Michael Stevens moves for an Order dismissing the criminal conviction entered against him on March 31, 1995, claiming that the district court lacked jurisdiction over him because pre-trial proceedings in this matter were conducted by a United States Magistrate Judge. Stevens claims that the judicial power of the United States resides exclusively with Judges appointed pursuant to Article III of the United States Constitution, ("Article III") and that because Magistrate Judges are not appointed pursuant to Article III, the Magistrate Judge was without authority to conduct any proceedings in this matter. Stevens suggests that because the Magistrate Judge lacked Article III judicial authority to conduct proceedings, this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over him.

Defendant's motion is denied. Magistrate Judges are authorized by Congress to preside over numerous pretrial proceedings in both civil and criminal matters. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the instant case, a Magistrate Judge was properly appointed to oversee defendant's pretrial proceedings, and all such proceedings were

Case 6:94-cr-06005-MAT-KRF Document 303 Filed 03/28/11 Page 2 of 2

conducted by the Magistrate Judge within the scope of his

Congressionally-authorized and judicially-granted authority. As a

result, there was no defect in the defendant's criminal

proceedings, and therefore defendant's motion is denied. Although

defendant's motion is denied on the merits, I further deny

defendant's motion on procedural grounds, as this claim could have

been raised on direct appeal or in a habeas corpus petition.

Because the claim could have been raised in prior proceedings,

Stevens is barred from raising the issue in this motion. See e.g.

Yick Man Mui v. U.S., 614 F.3d 50, 54 (2nd Cir., 2010) (claims that

could have been brought on direct appeal are barred from being

raised on collateral review absent cause and prejudice).

ALL OF THE ABOVE IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Michael A. Telesca

MICHAEL A. TELESCA United States District Judge

DATED: Rochester, New York

March 28, 2011

-Page 2-